

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/776,086	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SELWYN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rudy Zervigon	<b>Art Unit</b> 1763	

**All Participants:**

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Mark N. Fitzgerald (505.665.5187).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2005
**Time:** 16:20
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

26-29

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I conveyed to Mr. Fitzgerald that the newly added claims appeared to remain unpatentable in view of the Examiner's applied prior and previous arguments. Mr. Fitzgerald agreed to cancel the added claims to bring the pending claims into allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)